IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Edward Begley,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

Case No. 2:20-cr-00420-DBB

District Judge David Barlow

This Order Continuing Trial and Excluding Time Under the Speedy Trial Act is entered in response to the outbreak of the Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) in the District of Utah.

On March 13, 2020, a National Emergency was declared in response to the nationwide outbreak, which the World Health Organization has declared a global pandemic. The numbers of confirmed COVID-19 cases and deaths within the United States continue to be very high. The United States has more COVID-19 cases than any other country.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has issued guidance to combat the spread of COVID-19 and to promote the health and well-being of the nation. This guidance includes recommendations that all Americans avoid close contact with others (*i.e.*, being within six feet), among other things. There are nationwide now over 24,000,000 confirmed cases of Americans infected with COVID-19, resulting in over 400,000 deaths. Utah has now confirmed over 325,000 cases, resulting in nearly 13,000 hospitalizations and over 1,500 deaths. COVID-19 test positivity rates remain alarmingly high, suggesting the virus continues to spread unabated

throughout our communities. The available evidence continues to suggest difficulty tracking the real spread of COVID-19 because large numbers of infections remain undetected due to asymptomatic carriers, varying degrees of symptoms, a lack of adequate testing, and other factors. In addition, many hospitals in Utah, and especially along the Wasatch Front, are at or near full ICU capacity, raising concerns about the availability of emergency health care for those most in need. While the numbers of new daily cases is starting in the last couple of weeks to fall from peak levels, the number of new cases and the infection rate in Utah continue to make the COVID-19 pandemic an urgent health emergency.

Additionally, the Court has issued several General Court Orders in response to the outbreak of COVID-19 in the District of Utah.

- General Order 20-008 placed restrictions on visitors to the District's courthouse effective March 12, 2020, until further order.
- General Order 20-009 postponed most civil and criminal proceedings, and included findings and conclusions for an "ends of justice" exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act¹ for all criminal cases in the District from March 16, 2020, through May 1, 2020.
- <u>General Order 20-010</u> modified court operations for some criminal proceedings and offender supervision effective March 23, 2020, until further order.
- General Order 20-011 expanded video and teleconferencing capabilities for many criminal proceedings under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act effective March 31, 2020, and continuing for 90 days.
- General Order 20-012 extended the postponement of most civil and criminal proceedings to June 15, 2020 and included findings and conclusions for an "ends of justice" exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act for all criminal cases through June 15, 2020.
- General Order 20-017 extended the postponement of most civil and criminal proceedings to August 1, 2020 and included findings and conclusions for an "ends of justice"

2

¹ 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act for all criminal cases through August 1, 2020.

- General Order 20-020 renewed findings made in General Order 20-011 and extended for up to 90 additional days through October 8, 2020 the authorizations made under the CARES Act for expanded video and teleconferencing capabilities in many criminal cases.
- General Order 20-021 generally continued the status quo throughout the Court and extended relevant deadlines through September 1, 2020. It also describes the Court's four phase reopening plan and the gating criteria.
- General Order 20-026 provided for resumed in-person proceedings at the discretion of individual judges in critical cases but extended the postponement of civil and criminal jury trials to October 1, 2020. It also included findings and conclusions for an "ends of justice" exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act for all criminal cases through October 1, 2020.
- General Order 20-028 extended the emergency provisions of the CARES Act for an additional 90 days.
- General Order 20-029 extended the postponement of civil and criminal jury trials to November 2, 2020. It also included findings and conclusions for an "ends of justice" exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act for all criminal cases through November 2, 2020.
- General Order 20-030 extended the postponement of civil and criminal jury trials to February 1, 2021, pending further order of the Court. It also included findings and conclusions for an "ends of justice" exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act for all criminal cases through February 1, 2021.
- General Order 21-001 extended the postponement of civil and criminal jury trials to April 1, 2021, pending further order of the Court. It also included findings and conclusions for an "ends of justice" exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act for all criminal cases through April 1, 2021.

An "ends of justice" exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act is disfavored and "was meant to be a rarely used tool for those cases demanding more flexible treatment." However,

² United States v. Toombs, 574 F.3d 1262, 1269 (10th Cir. 2009).

based on the ongoing nature of the COVID-19 outbreak in the District, the effect of national and local public health recommendations and directives, and the findings and conclusions in General Orders 20-009 through 20-012, 20-017, 20-020, 20-021, 20-026, 20-028, 20-029, 20-030 and 21-001, it is necessary and appropriate to continue trial in this case and exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act. The high number of COVID-19 cases and deaths nationally, and in Utah, demand modifications in court practices to protect the public health. Courts and court operations are necessarily social operations, involving many people.

The need to protect the health of the public during a deadly pandemic outweighs the rights of Defendant and the public to a speedy trial. Moreover, there is a significantly reduced ability to obtain an adequate spectrum of jurors and available counsel, witnesses, and court personnel to be present in the courtroom for trial. Empaneling a jury, conducting a trial, and arranging jury deliberations, with due regard for health and safety, considering the broad spectrum of participants and their contacts outside the court, is not currently possible in the physical facilities available to the court. Long exposure in confined spaces, which is inherent in trial, increases risk of infection. Video and audio conferencing, used for hearings, are not available for trials. Counsel's ability to adequately prepare for trial, including locating and consulting with witnesses, and defense counsel's ability to confer with Defendant, under these circumstances is also greatly reduced.

A failure to continue trial under these circumstances would result in a miscarriage of justice³ and would deny counsel for the government and Defendant the reasonable time

³ 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).

necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.⁴ Therefore, the ends of justice served by such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and Defendant in a speedy trial.⁵ This continuance is not predicated on general congestion of the court's calendar or lack of diligent preparation by counsel.⁶

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the 3-day jury trial previously scheduled to begin on February 19, 2021 is continued to the 23rd day of April, 2021 at 9:00 a.m. Accordingly, the time from the entry of General Order 20-009, March 16, 2020, and the new trial date is excluded from Defendant's speedy trial computation for good cause.

SO ORDERED this 27th day of January, 2021.

BY THE COURT:

David Barlow

United States District Judge

⁴ *Id.* § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). ⁵ *Id.* § 3161(h)(7)(A).

⁶ *Id.* § 3161(h)(7)(C).